IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE

MONDAY, THE 13TH AUGUST 2007 / 22ND SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 10012 of 2005(P)

OPMV.805/1997 of MOTOR ACCIDENT CLAIMS TRIBUNAL, IRINJALAKUDA

.....

PETITIONER:

JOHN.K.VARA, S/O.KUTTIKADAN VARU,
KAIPAMANGALAM VILLAGE, DESOM,
KODUNGALLUR TALUK.

BY ADV. SRI.V.M.KRISHNAKUMAR

RESPONDENT:

DILEEP, S/O.KONDIYARA SHANMUGHAN,
ERIYAD VILLAGE DESOM, KODUNGALLUR TALUK.

BY

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 13/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WPC.NO.10012/2005 P

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1: **COPY OF THE REVIEW PETITION FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE MOTOR ACCIDENTS CLAIMS TRIBUNAL, IRINJALAKUDA DTD. 22/04/2004.**

EXT.P2: **COPY OF THE ORDER DTD. 6/05/04 IN I.A.1260/04 IN O.P.M.V. 805/97 OF THE MOTOR ACCIDENTS CLAIMS TRIBUNAL, IRINJALAKUDA.**

/TRUE COPY/

P.A.TO JUDGE

KSS

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, J.

W.P.(C) NO. 10012 of 2005

Dated this the 13th day of August , 2007

JUDGMENT

Even though notice was ordered on this writ petition challenging Ext.P2 order passed by the MACT in an application for reviewing an award which was passed as early as on 27.2.2004, the petitioner has not remitted process so far. The counsel for the petitioner sought time for remitting the process. I am not inclined to grant time.

2. The writ petition will stand dismissed. However, it is made clear that remedies, if any, available in law to the petitioner against the award passed by the MACT are left open.

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE,
JUDGE.

Dpk